

1	<p>Topic – Review/accept DRAFT membership/officer letter to IRMWT Presenter – Al Borup</p> <p><i>Discussion:</i> By SCG Charter, the IRMWT must approve the selection of SCG Chair. In addition, this letter formally presents the SCG membership roster to the IRMWT, and asks for their recommendations for a Fire Research representative. The meeting attendees reviewed this letter and suggested several changes. Most notable in the discussion was the consensus that we need to include ALL of the NWCG/NFAEB Project Managers/Deputy Project Managers in this group.</p> <p><i>Action Items:</i> Al will incorporate suggested changes and send the letter to the IRMWT.</p>
2	<p>Topic – Brainstorm list of issues important to SCG Presenter – Group</p> <p><i>Discussion:</i> The main thrust behind this discussion was to identify high-priority areas of concern within the SCG circle of influence, and then to select one that we can begin to work on. The goal would be to produce a deliverable in time for the June IRMWT meeting. Ideas that surfaced were: Change Management Plan template, Service Level Agreement template, System Roles and Responsibilities definitions, System Transition Plan template, Reference Model of the technologies we currently have deployed. The group elected to pursue development of a standard NWCG System Transition Plan template. To make it useable, it will be necessary to also develop some role & responsibility definitions as they pertain to that template.</p> <p><i>Action Items:</i> Al will gather up relevant information for this effort and email to the group.</p>
3	<p>Topic – Discuss Request for DOI Capstone Business Case Presenter – Group</p> <p><i>Lively Discussion:</i> Issues/concerns:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ DOI Wildland Fire Modernization Blueprint has clerical errors ▪ Blueprint assumptions based on incomplete or inaccurate data ▪ FS has fundamental issues with it being a DOI initiative – concerned about DOI making capricious decisions to change architecture without other stakeholder input ▪ Suggest that directors: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Don't jump to decisions that aren't based on a true. Interagency model ○ Wait for NWFEA effort ○ Need a true interagency perspective on the architecture <p>The SCG as a group has mixed opinions about blending this DOI effort with the NWFEA</p> <p><i>Action Items:</i> David – will email Elyse to try and determine the expected outcome of the upcoming briefing with the Fire Directors.</p>
4	<p>Topic – SCG potential deliverables Presenter – Al Borup</p> <p><i>Discussion:</i> This item was discussed in Topic #2.</p> <p><i>Action Items:</i> Gladys will send the SCG the Service Level Agreement for IQCS. Al – Gather together all artifacts we have on hand to build a preliminary System Transition Plan template. Send rough draft to SCG for review and edit.</p>
5	<p>Topic – Discuss Interagency Aviation Enterprise Architecture Issues Presenter – Group</p> <p><i>Discussion:</i> Concerns about Aviation not being currently in the scope of the NWFEA. Issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Duplication ▪ Interoperability ▪ Making architectural decision without full picture ▪ Implications with O&M – increased cost by not having things done up front <p><i>Action Items:</i> None at this time</p>

System Comments:

- IQCS
 - There is a push for a post-deployment review by the BLM System Coordination Office (SCO). John Gebhard would like to see a small interagency team formed (independent from the IQCS team). More to come as John gets additional information. Several of the SCG members volunteered to participate.
- FORS
 - The seed money for this project has dried up. NPS was going to provide \$75K but no longer can. BLM (Tim Murphy) may approach OWFC to scrounge up funds from them. At this point, FORS is at a dead stop. Also, we still lack a formal Business Lead and we want one in place before moving forward.
- FireCode
 - Mike Barrowcliff mentioned that the FS has an emerging issue because there is no standard for using P-codes for complexes. This is a non-standard process that needs to get clarified. The SCG suggests that perhaps Firecode would benefit from a post-deployment review, driven by the IBPWT. More discussion is warranted.
- ROSS
 - There is a scheduled outage weekend to load an update. FS presence will be off-line as well for a FAMWEB update.
- ICBS-R
 - Vendor selection for development of the ICBS Reengineering Project is moving forward. Selection should be made in the next few weeks. Al is a member of the Technical Evaluation Panel. Process has been well-rounded and effective.
- Landfire
 - [SCG Chair will invite Bruce Jeske to become a regular member of the SCG.](#)

Round Robin:

- Mike Barrowcliff
 - FS is reengineering the WFSA (Wildland Fire Situation Analysis) system. New acronym is LFDS (Large Fire Decision Support) system. John Syzmoniak will be the Business Lead, Dorothy Albright will be the Project Manager. They intend to elevate this to the NWCG level for sponsorship. Also, WFLC has approved the Blue Sky application for use. Research took this application directly to WFLC – did not channel through NWCG. This raises concerns about the protocol for the way we implement systems for fire. Lastly, Mike discussed the FS initiative to improve financial management of incidents, and its relation to Aviation Use Data. Efforts needs to be more closely aligned with DOI Aviation.
- Gladys Crabtree
 - FEAT – NPS is integrating this with FIREMON. Project is sponsored by the interagency fuels committee. Project is dubbed FFI (FEAT FIREMON Integration). Managing Partner is NPS. Project Manager and Business Lead are pending.
- Al Borup
 - Welcomes Rick as a regular member of the SCG. The SCG would benefit from having [Rick do a short presentation of his existing systems and issues, and how he sees the interactions and interconnections with fire and fire systems, at our next SCG meeting.](#) Rick agreed to do this. Also notes that the two-hour meeting is just too short. SCG agrees to extend the next meeting by ½ hour to 2 ½ hours.
- John Gebhard
 - Fire Forest initiative (AK/Fronterhouse move for a fire “domain”) – Mike suggests that this is a solution looking for a problem. Not everyone on the SCG has been in the loop on this. [Gebhard will forward all he has to the SCG for consideration.](#) SCG would like a follow-up meeting with

Bev Fronterhouse to get a better understanding of the whole concept. Meeting would then move to a discussion of the benefits, risks, strengths, and weaknesses of implementing a Fire Forest. [John will try to schedule a meeting the week of April 11th.](#) Bottom line – the SCG needs a better understanding of the effort before it feels it can make any recommendations to the IRMWT.

Bin items:

Meeting Critique:

What Went Well:

What Went Less Well:

Suggestions To Make Meetings Better:

- Alotted meeting time is too short. We will extend the next meeting to 2 ½ hours.